Case 2:18-cv-05162-RSWL-E $\,$ Document 48 $\,$ Filed 09/08/20 $\,$ Page 1 of 7 $\,$ Page ID #:533

Release Provisions [45] ("Motion"). Having reviewed all papers submitted pertaining to this Motion, the Court NOW FINDS AND RULES AS FOLLOWS: the Court GRANTS Sugarfina's Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Factual Background

Plaintiff is the holder of the registered "CANDY-GRAM" trademark ("CANDY-GRAM"), No. 1,943,440. Compl. ¶ 15, ECF No. 1. The registration covers "Candy" in Class 30 and "Greeting Cards" in Class 16. Id. Plaintiff has used CANDY-GRAM continuously in interstate commerce in connection with the sales of candy and greeting cards since it was issued in 1995, and has renewed it every ten years. Id. ¶ 17. Plaintiff uses CANDY-GRAM on its website, www.candygram.com, and in its 800-candygram toll number. Id. ¶ 18.

Sugarfina is a luxury candy boutique. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 9.

Sugarfina's website features the option "Candygram," which allows customers to send candy products with messages through its website and in-store kiosks. <u>Id.</u> ¶¶ 26-27. Plaintiff contends that no later than February 2018, Sugarfina began infringing on Plaintiff's CANDY-GRAM mark as well as its common law rights to the CANDY-GRAM service mark. <u>Id.</u> ¶ 2.

Plaintiff sent a cease and desist letter to Sugarfina on April 26, 2018, regarding Sugarfina's alleged trademark infringement, but Sugarfina has

refused to comply with the request. Id. ¶¶ 19-20.

B. <u>Procedural Background</u>

On June 11, 2018, Plaintiff filed its Complaint [1] asserting nine causes of action. On October 10, 2018, the Court granted in part and denied in part [15] Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

Sugarfina filed a Notice of Filing Bankruptcy with the Court on September 16, 2019 [37]. On April 14, 2020, the Court stayed this Action [42] pending the Confirmation Hearing in Sugarfina's bankruptcy proceedings.

On May 26, 2020, Sugarfina filed its Status and Result of Bankruptcy Court Hearing [43], explaining that the Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming Sugarfina's Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan"). On June 1, 2020, Sugarfina filed a Supplemental Notice Re: Status and Result of Bankruptcy Court Hearing [44], detailing that the Plan had become effective as of May 28, 2020.

Sugarfina filed the instant Motion [45] on June 24, 2020. Plaintiff failed to oppose, and Sugarfina then filed a Notice of Non-Opposition [46] on July 17, 2020.

¹ Plaintiff asserts the following causes of Action: (1)
Federal Trademark Infringement; (2) Federal Trademark Dilution;
(3) Unfair Competition, Trade Dress Infringement, and False
Designation of Origin; (4) California Common Law Unfair
Competition; (5) Unfair Competition; (6) Common Law Infringement
of Trademark; (7) Common Law Infringement of Service Mark; (8)
Tortious Interference with Prospective Business Relationship; and
(9) Unjust Enrichment.

II. DISCUSSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bankruptcy courts have the authority to grant injunctive relief under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 105 ("The court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title."); see also In re Breul, 533 B.R. 782, 791 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2015) (noting that the bankruptcy court has the power to enforce discharge injunctions pursuant to its authority under section 105(a)). Additionally, " section 105 permits the court to issue both preliminary and permanent injunctions after confirmation of a plan to protect the debtor and the administration of the bankruptcy estate." In re Am. <u>Hardwoods</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 885 F.2d 621, 625 (9th Cir. 1989). Here, the Bankruptcy Court, in its Confirmation Order, approved the discharge, injunction, and release provisions in the Plan. See Ex. 1 to Supp. Notice re: Status and Result of Bankruptcy Court Hearing ("Confirmation Order") $\P\P$ 19-20, ECF No. 44-1; see also

20 21

Ex. 3 to Supp. Notice re: Status and Result of

Bankruptcy Court Hearing ("Plan") § 8.01, ECF No. 44-3.

Specifically, the Plan release claims and enjoins the

pursuit of new claims against Sugarfina:

FROM AND AFTER THE EFFECTIVE DATE, ALL ENTITIES ARE PERMANENTLY ENJOINED FROM COMMENCING OR CONTINUING IN ANY MANNER, ANY CAUSE OF ACTION RELEASED OR TO BE RELEASED PURSUANT TO THE PLAN OR THE CONFIRMATION ORDER.

Plan § 8.05(a). The Plan also provides for a limited 1 2 injunction against Defendants Resnick and O'Neill: 3 UNLESS AGREED IN WRITING OTHERWISE BY THE REORGANIZED DEBTOR, LITIGATION AND/OR ANY CONTINUATION OF THE INSURED LITIGATION (TO THE 4 EXTENT NOT RELEASED OR DISCHARGED HEREUNDER), 5 SHALL BE ENJOINED FOR A PERIOD OF TWELVE (12) MONTHS FOLLOWING THE EFFECTIVE DATE. The Plan defines the "Insured Litigation" Id. § 8.06. 6 7 as, among others: (b) that certain litigation currently pending 8 as Global Apogee v. Sugarfina, Inc., et al., with the District Court for the Central 9 District of California as Case No. 2:18-cv-05162, and (c) any other claim or cause of action filed or raised or that may in the future be filed or raised against a non-Debtor 10 11 beneficiary of the D&O Insurance Policies for 12 which there is coverage under such Insurance Policies. 13 <u>Id</u>. 14 The Bankruptcy Court approved the release 15 provisions in the Plan, stating: 16 Except as modified herein, the releases and exculpation provisions contained in the Plan, including but not limited to, those provided in Article VIII of the Plan, are hereby 17 authorized, approved, and binding on all 18 Persons and Entities described therein . 19 20 Confirmation Order ¶ 19. The Bankruptcy Court also 21 approved the injunction provisions: 22 Pursuant to Article VIII of the Plan, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan or this Order, from and after the Effective Date, 23 all Persons and Entitles are, to the fullest extent provided under section 524 and other 24 applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, permanently enjoined from (i) commencing or continuing, in any manner or in any place, any suit, action or other proceeding; (ii) 25 26 enforcing, attaching, collecting, or recovering 27 in any manner any judgment, award, decree, or order; (iii) creating, perfecting, or enforcing

any Lien or encumbrance; (iv) asserting a

setoff or right of subrogation of any kind; or (v) commencing or continuing in any manner any action or other proceeding of any kind, in each case on account of or with respect to any claim, demand, liability, obligation, debt, right, cause of action, equity interest, or remedy released or to be released, settled or to be settled or discharged or to be discharged under the Plan or this Order against any person or entity so released or discharged

Id. ¶ 20.

Because the Bankruptcy Court approved the Plan and confirmed the relevant discharge, injunction, and release provisions in its Confirmation Order, Plaintiff is effectively enjoined from continuing this Action. Pursuant to the Plan and Confirmation Order, the Action should be dismissed as to Suagrfina and stayed as to Defendants Resnick and O'Neill until after May 28, 2021.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Sugarfina's Motion.

See In re Kalikow, 602 F.3d 82, 93 (2d Cir. 2010)

(affirming grant of an enforcement motion that

"[sought] to enforce an injunction already in

place-[one] that was created by sections 1141 and 524

of the Bankruptcy Code and the express terms of the

[Plan] and Confirmation Order"). Additionally,

Plaintiff failed to oppose the instant Motion, which

the Court deems as consent to granting the instant

Motion. See C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-12 ("The failure to file

any required document . . . may be deemed consent to

the granting . . . of the motion . . . ").

III. CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, the Court GRANTS Sugarfina's Motion. The Court ORDERS Plaintiff to dismiss its claims against Sugarfina within seven (7) days of the date of this Order. The Court STAYS this Action against Defendants Resnick and O'Neill through May 28, 2021. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September _8, 2020 /s/ Ronald S.W. Lew HONORABLE RONALD S.W. LEW Senior U.S. District Judge